STUDIES ON THE BIOLOGY OF THE REEF FISHES OF GUAM

PART I: Distribution of Fishes on the Reef Flats of Guam

PART II: Distribution of Eggs and Larvae of Fishes at

Selected Sites on Guam

By
Steven S. Amesbury



UNIVERSITY OF GUAM MARINE LABORATORY

Technical Report No. 49

July 1978

This report was financed in part through a contract from the Bureau of Planning, Government of Guam. (Contract No. 500807709.)

STUDIES ON THE BIOLOGY

OF THE REEF FISHES OF GUAM

PART I: Distribution of Fishes on the Reef Flat of Guam

PART II: Distribution of the Eggs and Larvae of Fishes at Selected Sites on Guam

Ву

Steven S. Amesbury

Submitted to

Bureau of Planning

Coastal Zone Management Agency

Government of Guam

University of Guam

Marine Laboratory

Technical Report No. 49

July 1978

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Guam's reefs are predominantly fringing reefs. The processes of reef growth, erosion, and sedimentation typical of such fringing reefs have produced an extensive reef flat environment which extends from the shoreline out to the reef margin. The reef flat is absent along some portions of Guam's coastline, but in other areas extends almost one kilometer from the shore (Randall and Eldredge, 1976). Because it is contiguous with the shoreline of Guam, the reef flat is the recipient or potential recipient of all effluent discharges from the island. The reef flat is also subject to dredging and filling and other modifications associated with shoreline developments on Guam.

In order to better understand the ecological significance of present and future impacts on the reef environment, the University of Guam Marine Laboratory, under contract to the Office of Coastal Zone Management, Department of Planning of the Territory of Guam, has been carrying out a series of studies on the existing biota of selected reef flat areas around Guam. These studies have been designed to provide baseline data on existing biological communities on the reef flat and to indicate some of the important ecological factors of the reef flat environment which promote the development and maintenance of these communities. This report presents the results of studies on fishes associated with the reef flat environment. The study is divided into two parts: one dealing with the distribution of resident fishes on the reef flat and the other dealing with the distribution of eggs and larvae of fishes found in the waters associated with various reef areas.

PART I. Distribution of fishes on reef flats on Guam

Fish are a conspicuous component of the reef flat community. They are important economically as potential sources of food for man, for their value in the aquarium trade, and for the enjoyment they provide to recreational snorklers and divers. They may also be important ecologically in maintaining the balance of the reef community by grazing and cropping of marine plants, by redistributing nutrients in their waste products, and by serving as food for reef carnivores.

The study presented here has a three-fold purpose:

- to provide baseline data on the species composition and abundance of resident fishes on selected reef flats on Guam;
- 2) to indicate patterns of fish distribution on reef flats that might be generally applicable to a variety of reef areas on Guam; and
- 3) to suggest environmental factors which influence the composition of reef flat fish communities.

The information provided herein should make it possible to make some reasonable predictions of the impact of various types of environmental modification on the structure of the reef flat fish community on Guam.

METHODS

Fishes were assessed quantitatively by laying out a transect line from the shoreline to the reef margin. A swimmer equipped with snorkeling or scuba gear moved along the transect line identifying and enumerating all species of fish observed within one meter of either side of the line.

Separate counts were made for each 10-meter interval along the transect line so that patterns of zonation of the fishes could be determined. The locations of each of the transect sites are shown in Figure 1, and Table 1 provides information on the lengths of the transects and the dates on which the censuses were made.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Rabbitfish

Before the more general aspects of the distribution of fishes on the reef flat can be discussed, it is necessary to deal with the special case of the rabbitfish, family Siganidae. Two species of siganids, Siganus spinus (Linnaeus) and S. argenteus (Quoy and Gaimard), are common on Guam, although other rabbitfish species have been recorded here (Kami et al., 1968; Kami, 1975). The life cycle of these fishes exhibits a marked seasonal pattern. A pelagic, planktivorous larval stage in offshore waters develops into an herbivorous juvenile form, known locally as manahac, which takes up residence on the reef flat. The appearance of the juvenile rabbitfish on the reef occurs primarily during the months of April and May (although sugsequent runs during June and October occasionally take place) and is closely tied to the moon phase, occurring within a few days of the last quarter of the moon (Kami and Ikehara, 1976). The juveniles spend 4 to 8 weeks (S. argenteus; Tobias, 1976) to several months (S. spinus; Bryan, 1975) on the reef flat feeding on algae and growing, and then, as subadults, move to deeper areas beyond the reef front. Although the seasonal occurrence of the juvenile siganids on the reef is predictable, their abundance from year to year fluctates widely and, seemingly, randomly (Kami and Ikehara, 1976).

During the course of this study, significant numbers of juvenile significant seems on several reef flats from April 13 to June 17, 1977 (Tables 3 to 12), whereas none were seen during the surveys made from December 15, 1977, to March 29, 1978. During the period of time when rabbitfish were observed on the reef flat, average densities in some reef

zones were as high as six per square meter (Table 3), and within particular 20 m² census areas reached densities of 16 per square meter. Creel censuses carried out by the Guam Division of Aquatic and Wildlife Resources have indicated that the rabbitfish run in the spring of 1977 was one of the largest yet recorded, while the run in the spring of 1978 has been one of the smallest (M. Molina, pers. comm.).

Although the juvenile signids may have a significant impact on certain species of algae growing on the reef flat (FitzGerald, 1976), they appear to have no major influence on the standing stock of resident reef flat fishes. Of the three transects run during the spring 1977 rabbitfish run and recensused seven to eleven months later, one (Table 5) showed resident fish to be less abundant during the rabbitfish run and two (Tables 8 and 9) showed resident fishes to be more abundant during the run. Observations made during the 1977 run indicated that the young rabbitfishes moved across the reef flat in very dense aggregations, feeding on the algae growing there. Certain resident damselfishes (Pomacentridae) which protect patches of algae for their own use (so-called "farmer fish" of the genus Eupomacentrus) were very actively, but seemingly ineffectively, trying to drive the rabbitfish away from their territories. As the rabbitfish appear to be selective in their choice of algal foods (Tsuda and Bryan, 1973), even large runs may leave sufficient quantities of algae on the reef flat to support resident herbivores.

In the subsequent discussions of the distribution of fishes on the reef flat, emphasis will be placed on resident fishes. Siganids, which may be important during certain periods, do not otherwise seem to be a significant part of the reef flat fish community, and will be ignored (as

they are in Figures 2 to 11).

Zonation

The reef flat environment is clearly not homogeneous with respect to fishes. There are differences in fish abundance and species composition from area to area and differences in the abundance and composition of zones in the same reef area. Because area to area differences appear to be largely a reflection of varying representation of different zones in the different areas, zonation patterns will be considered first.

One of the most conspicuous patterns is a relatively low abundance of fishes on the nearshore part of the reef flat with an increase in abundance with greater distance from shore. This pattern is exhibited on virtually all of the transects (Figures 2 to 11). Additionally, some reefs show a decline in fish abundance on the extreme outer reef margin. These zonal variations in abundance seem to be closely related to the availability of cover for fishes. The inshore areas on the Tumon and Agana transects and on Agat transect 2 have a long stretch of sand substrate with very little topographic relief. Few fishes reside in this habitat, and those which do occur here are generally in association with isolated coral colonies. Fish abundance increases in coral-dominated areas and in areas where the substrate is dissected and there is a significant amount of topographic relief. These habitats are found in the outer portions of the inner reef flat and on some of the outer reef flats.

On the outer reef flats in Agana Bay fewer fish are found primarily because these areas exhibit little topographic relief and are subject to heavy wave assault. It was difficult for the investigator to census the fish in these areas because of the strong action of the waves, and it is

presumed that the same factor may be at work to limit the number of fish which can occupy this environment.

The diversity of species shows a similar, though less marked, pattern as the abundance of fishes, with a relatively low number of species in the nearshore areas, increasing toward the reef margin. On some reefs, species richness is low at the reef margin (Figures 4 to 7) because heavy wave assault makes this zone inhospitable to fishes. On other reefs, species richness is notably higher on the reef margin because of the topographic relief here which makes more habitats available to more types of fish. In most cases, the increase in the number of species on these reef margin areas is due to the occurrence of reef front species which have not previously been encountered on the reef flat. The low species richness in nearshore areas is due to the lack of topographic relief and habitat diversity in these environments.

Species Patterns

Various fish species encountered in the reef flat environment show rather clear-cut patterns of zonation in their distribution. Four species making up two congeneric pairs (<u>Halichoeres trimaculatus</u> and <u>H. margaritaceous</u> and <u>Glyphidodontops glaucus</u> and <u>G. leucopomus</u>) exhibit very marked zonation patterns and their distributions along the transects are show in Figures 2 to 11. These and other species will be discussed below.

Halichoeres trimaculatus is one of the dominant species on the inner reef flat. It occurs over sandy bottoms where no cover is present. It is generally in low abundance on the outer reef flat, although it was abundant in this environment on Agat Bay Transect 2 (Tables 9 and 10); on these transects, however, it was considerably more abundant on the inshore portion

of the outer reef flat than in the reef margin areas.

Other species associated with sandy substrates on the inner reef flat were various species of sand-dwelling gobies and blennies and occasional groups of young <u>Lutjanus fulvus</u> (a snapper), goatfishes (Mullidae), and the surgeonfish <u>Acanthurus triostegus</u>. Where an isolated coral head provided cover, numbers of the damselfishes <u>Dascyllus aruanus</u> and, in lesser abundance, <u>Plectroglyphidodon leucozona</u> were seen.

As scattered corals became more numerous, <u>D</u>. <u>aruanus</u> became more abundant, and various other species were also encountered, including the sharp-nosed puffer <u>Canthigaster</u> <u>solandri</u> and the cardinalfish <u>Apogon</u> novemfasciatus.

The greatest variety of fishes generally occurred in the coral-rich inner reef flat zone. The various farmer-fishes of the genus <u>Eupomacentrus</u> often reached their highest abundance in this zone.

On the outer reef flat, three species dominated: <u>Glyphidodontops</u>

<u>glaufus</u> on the inner portion, <u>G. leucopomus</u> on the outer portion, and

<u>HaliChoeres margaritaceous</u> throughout this zone. There was generally very

little overlap between the two species of <u>Glyphidodontops</u>. These three

<u>fishes were characteristic</u> of areas of strong surge and were found on the

outer reef flats of virtually all of the transects.

Four damselfish species, Eupomacentrus fasciolatus, Plectroglyphidodon dickii, P. lachrymatus, and Pomacentrus vaiuli, were found almost exclusively just over the reef margin out of the heavy surge zone. Two surgeonfish species Acanthurus lineatus and Ctenochaetus striatus, were often common just beyond the reef front and penetrated the reef flat in areas where

there was sufficient topographic relief.

Area Comparisons

Of the fish communities surveyed, the major differences are between those found on relatively wide reef flats (Tumon Bay, Agana Bay, and Agat Bay Transect 2) and those found on narrow reef flats (Agat Bay Transect 1, Fouha Bay, and Ylig Bay). On the narrower reef flats, the sand bottom inner reef flats are reduced or absent, and so those fish species typical of this environment (see above) are in reduced abundance. The reef flat as a whole in these areas tends to most closely resemble the outer reef flat zone on the wider reefs. Almost the whole of the reef flat is subject to relatively strong wave action and surge and so those species adapted to these conditions predominate.

Environmental Factors

The composition of the fish community on the reef flat is influenced primarily by two environmental factors, wave action and topographic relief. A third important factor, substrate composition, is, to a large extent, under the control of the two primary factors. On the reef margin and outer reef flat zones, wave assault and associated surge is great. This prevents any accumulation of sand except in protected holes. Where this zone is relatively flat and shallow, the fish assemblage is dominated by H. margaritaceous, G. lacopomus, and G. glaucus, and few other species are encountered. These are the conditions found on the outer reef flats of the Agana Bay transects and on Agat Bay transect 1. In those areas where the outer reef flat is characterized by channels and grooves providing somewhat deeper water access to the reef front (Tumon Bay transects, Agat Bay transect 2, Fouha Bay and Ylig Bay), additional species are found on

the outer reef flat, among them <u>Acanthurus lineatus</u>, <u>Ctenochaetus striatus</u>, species of <u>Eupomacentrus</u>, and various labrid (wrasse) species. Behind the outer reef flat zone, the reef deepens and may support a diverse community of corals which provides a variety of habitats for many species of reef flat residents. Further toward the shore, wave action and surge is reduced and sand deposits accumulate. This rather uniform environment supports few fish species in low numbers, although isolated coral colonies may harbor a variety of species not seen elsewhere in this zone.

Economically Important Species

The major types of fishing done of Guam's reef flats are throw-net and surround-net fishing. During the seasonal manahac (rabbitfish) runs, thousands of pounds of these fish may be harvested from the reef flats (Kami and Ikehara, 1976). Another seasonally abundant fish, the "mackeral" or atulai (Trachurops crumenopthalmus), may also be harvested in great quantities on the reef flat (however, none were seen during the transect studies reported here). Various other fishes, including goatfish (Family Mullidae), young jacks (primarily young Caranx melampygus), and surgeonfish (Family Acanthuridae), are taken in lesser quantities by throw net fishermen on the reef flat.

Some species of fish which are commonly harvested by spearfishermen and pole and line fishermen off the reef flat, spend their juvenile stages on the reef flat. These include the parrotfishes (Family Scaridae), goatfishes (Family Mullidae), and snappers (Family Lutjanidae).

The marine aquarium trade on Guam is small and caters to a local market. There may be opportunities for expansion in this trade, as Guam has many attractive marine fishes which are adaptable to aquarium life and

which are not generally available in other parts of the United States or in Japan. Many of these species, including damselfishes (Family Pomacentridae), wrasses (Family Labridae), sharp-nosed puffers (Family Canthigasteridae) and butterflyfishes (Family Chaetodontidae), occur on the reef flat.

The reef flat is the most accessible marine environment to local residents and tourists. The reef flats in Tumon Bay may provide tourists with their first glimpse of a living reef community, and the opportunity to observe a variety of active brightly-colored reef fishes must contribute to their enjoyment of their stay in Guam.

CONCLUSIONS

The patterns of fish distribution discussed above appear to be generally similar in all the reef flat areas studied, differing only insofar as the various reef flat zones are differently represented in different reef areas. None of the surveyed areas show any signs of improverishment due to human interference.

Reef flat fishes produce large numbers of eggs during their lifetimes, and it is generally believed that many more young fish are available to take up residence on the reef than the reef can supports. This extra production guarantees that there will be an adequate source of new residents, should an isolated fish kill occur. The habitats left open after a die-off will quickly become inhabited by new recruits.

Of more serious consequences, however, is the destruction of fish habitats through blasting, dredging, filling, and sedimentation. Changes in topography, circulation patterns, substrate composition, and coral growth will result in significant changes in the associated fish communities.

Location, length (m), and sampling dates of the fish census transects

TUMON BAY			
Transect 1 Transect 2	Off Guam Reef Hotel Off Fugita Road	500	9-10 July 1978 31 May-2 June 1977
EAST AGANA BAY	. 20		
Transect 1 Transect 2	Alupang Cove Off China Restaurant	640 600	29 Apr. 1977 13 Apr. 1977; 7 Mar. 1978
AGAT BAY			
Transect 1 Transect 2	Off Rizal Beach Off Agat Cemetery	300	24 May 1977; 15 Dec. 1977 24-26 May 1977; 15 Dec. 1
FOUHA BAY			
Transect 1 Transect 2 Transect 3	South Side North Side North Side	90-100 50 80	5 Jan. 1978; 29 Mar. 1978 29 Mar. 1978 29 Mar. 1978
Transect 4 YLIG BAY	North Side	110	29 Mar. 1978
Transect 1 Transect 2	South Side South Side	60 100	17 June 1977 17 June 1977

Table 2. Abundance of fishes (no. per m²) on Tumon Bay Transect 1, July 9-10, 1978. A=Inner Reef Flat—Sand Subzone; B=Inner Reef Flat—Scattered Coral Subzone; C=Inner Reef Flat—Coral Subzone; D=Outer Reef Flat—Pavement Subzone.

	A	В	С	D	E
	(0-150 m)	(150-260 m)	(260-340 m)	(340-390 m)	(390-500 m)
ACANTHURIDAE Acanthurus lineatus A. nigrofuscus A. pyroferus A. triostegus juvenile acanthurids	.01		.01		.12 .02 .01 .01
APOGONIDAE Apogon novemfasciatus	.02		.01	.01	
BALISTIDAE Rhinecanthus aculeatus	<.01				
BLENNIIDAE unidentified blenniids			.01	.02	.01
CANTHIGASTERIDAE Canthigaster solandri	.01				<.01
CARANGIDAE Caranx melampygus	<.01				
Chaetodon citrinellus C. ephippium	<.01		.01		
FISTULARIIDAE juvenile <u>Fistularia</u>		<.01			
GOBIIDAE Amblygobius albimaculat unidentified gobiids	.01	.03	.05		
HOLOCENTRIDAE Myripristis sp.			6		<.01
Halichoeres margaritace H. marginatus H. trimaculatus Stethojulis bandanensi Thalassoma quinquevitt	.04 .01	.14	.06	.11	.26 <.01 .06 .03

Table 2. continued

	Δ.	n n			
	A (0-150 m)	B (150-260 m)	C (260-340 m)	D (340-390 m)	E (390-500 m)
LUTJANIDAE Scolopsis cancellatus	.02				
MUGILIDAE unidentified mugilids			.01	, ss	
MULLIDAE Mulloidichthys samoensi Parupeneus pleurostigma	<.01		.01		.01
POMACENTRIDAE <u>Abudefdef</u> <u>septemfasciat</u> <u>Dascyllus</u> <u>aruanus</u> <u>Eupomacentrus</u> albifasci	.09	3.00	.01		<.01
E. fasciolatus E. nigricans Glyphidodontops glaucus G. leucopomus Plectroglyphidodon dick	<.01		.14	.04	.05 .02 .61
P. leucozona juvenile pomacentrids unidenfied pomacentrids	<.01 .02 .01	.01	.01		.04
SCARIDAE juvenile scarids					.02
SIGANIDAE Siganus spinus	.01	.01			
SYNODONTIDAE Synodus variegatus					<.01
ZANCLIDAE Zanclus cornutus			33		.01
No. Species	18.	6	12	6	21
Total Fish Abundance (No./m²)	. 28	.21	.35	. 68	1.32
Total Abundance Excluding Siganids	.27	.20	.35	.68	1.32

Table 3. Abundance of fishes (no. per m²) in reef flat zones on Tumon Bay Transect 2, May 31, 1977. A=Inner Reef Flat—Sand Subzone; B=Inner Reef Flat—Scattered Coral Subzone; C=Inner Reef Flat—Coral Subzone; D=Outer Reef Flat.

Fla	t.				
	A (0-130m)	B (130-200m)	C (200-430m)	D (430-500m)	
A CANWILLIE TO A E					
ACANTHURIDAE Acanthurus triostegus		.01	.02		
Ctenochaetus striatus		.01	.02	.02	
APOGONIDAE	0.5			11	
Apogon novemfasciatus Apogon sp.	.02 <.01	.01	<.01	-01	
Apogon ap.	V.01				
BALISTIDAE					
Rhinecanthus aculeatus	<.01	.01	<.01		
BLENNIIDAE					
Plagiotremus tapeinosoma			<.01		
unidentified blenniids	.06	.01	.03	.02	
**					
CATHIGASTERIDAE			0.1		
Canthigaster bennetti C. solandri		.01	.01 .02		
C. BOTANGIT		.03	.02		
CHAETODONTIDAE					
Chaetodon citrinellus			<.01		
FISTULARIIDAE					
Fistularia petimba			<.01		
GOBIIDAE	0.1		2.0		
unidentified gobiids	.04	.02	.02		
HOLOCENTRIDAE					
Flammeo sp.	.01	.01		.01	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					
LABRIDAE Halichoeres margaritaceous			.09	.37	
H. trimaculatus	.04	-06	.20	.01	
Stethojulis bandanensis			.02	.02	
Thalassoma quinquevittata				.01	
juvenile labrids		.01		.01	
LUTJANIDAE					
Scolopsis cancellatus		.01			
100					
MULLIDAE Mulloidichthys samoensis			<.01		
Parupeneus barberinus	.01	<.01	٧.01		
P. trifasciatus			<.01		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
POMACENTRIDAE Dascyllus aruanus		.06	<.01		
Eupomacentrus albifasciatus	<.01	.00	.27	.85	
E. fasciolatus	-			.06	
E. nigricans	50	.03	.05	.01	

Table 3. continued

Melle)		A (0-130m)	B (130-200m)	C (200-430m)	D (430-500m)	
			12 OF 2	: (2)		01_11Vi
	Glyphidodontops glaucus			.08		
	G. leucopomus			.02	.82	
	Plectroglyphidodon dickii			H	.01	
	P. lachrymatus					
	P. leucozona	.05	.06		.01	
	juvenile pomacentrids	.06	.04	. 01		
		.00	.04	<.01		
	SCARIDAE					
	juvenile scarids					
	3			.06	.01	
	SIGANIDAE					
	Siganus argenteus					
		.01	.07	. 49	.54	
	S. spinus	.13	.51	1.22	5.99	
	CITICULATION					
	SYNGNATHIDAE					
	unidentified syngmathids		.01	<.01		
_						
	No. Species	13	19	25	8.10	
	Total Fish Abundance (No./m2)	.45		25	18	- 1
	Total Abundance Excluding Sigan	4.de 31	.97	2.63	8.78	
		Tra . 7I	.39	.92	2.25	

Table 4. Abundance of fishes (no. per m²) in reef flat zones on Agana Bay Transect 1, April 29, 1977. A =Inner Reef Flat—Sand Subzone; B =Inner Reef Flat—Scattered Coral subzone; C =Inner Reef Flat—Coral Subzone; D =Outer Reef Flat—Pavement and Pool Subzone.

гачешен	A (0-460m)	B (460-520m)	C (520-610m)	D (610-640m)	
ACANTHURIDAE Acanthurus triostegus			.01	30	
APOGONIDAE Apogon novemfasciatus			.03		
BLENNIDAE unidentified blenniids	<.01		.01		
CANTHIGASTERIDAE Canthigaster bennetti			.01		
C. solandri CHAETODONTIDAE		.04	.02		
Chaetodon citrinellus			.01		
GOBIIDAE Unidentified gobiids	.01		.02		
LABRIDAE Halichoeres margaritaceous H. trimaculatus		.06	.19 .20	.15	
Labroides dimidiatus Stethojulis bandanensis juvenile labrids	<.01	.05	.01 .08 .02	.02	
LUTJANIDAE Lutjanus fulvus Scolopsis cancellatus	<.01 <.01		a .		
MULLIDAE Parupeneus barberinus		.01			
POMACENTRIDAE Dascyllus aruanus	<.01	.04	.02		
E. fasciolatus E. nigricans Glyphidodontops leucopomus	<.01	.02	.04 .01 .11 .01	.20	
SCARIDAE juvenile scarids		.25	.06	****	
SIGANIDAE Siganus spinus	.05	1.17	1.61		
SYNGNATHIDAE unidentified syngnathids	<.01	1.17	.01		
 No. Species	9	8	20	4	
Total Fish Abundance (No./m²) Total Abundance Exluding Siganid	.08 s .03	1.63 .46	2.48 .87	.38 .38	
		18			

Table 5. Abundance of fishes (no. per m²) in reef flat zones on Agana Bay Transect 2, April 13, 1977. A=Inner Reef Flat—Sand Subzone; B=Inner Reef Flat—Scattered Coral Subzone; C=Inner Reef Flat—Coral Subzone; D=Outer Reef Flat—Pavement and Pool Subzone; E=Outer Reef Flat—Pavement Subzone.

	A (0-220m)	B (220 - 210 -)	C (2310 (800)	D	E
	(U-220m)	(220-310m)	(310-480m)	(480-570m)	(570-600m)
ACANTHURIDAE					
Acanthurus triostegus				.01	
APOGONIDAE					
Apogon novemfasciatus	.01	.01	.01	.04	0.7
		•01	.01	.04	.02
BALISTIDAE					
Rhinecanthus aculeatus			×	.01	
BLENNIIDAE					
unidentified blenniids	.01				
BOTHIDAE					
Bothus	<.01				
CANTHIGASTERIDAE					
Canthigaster solandri		.01		.01	.02
				•01	.02
Chaetodontidae					
Chaetodon auriga C. citrinellus				.01	
C. lunula				.01	
C. trifasciatus			.01	.01	
GOBIIDAE unidentified gobiids	0.0	•			
unidencified gobilds	.02	.01	<.01		
HOLOCENTRIDAE					
Flammeo sp			<.01		
LIDBIDAR					
LABRIDAE Halichoeres marginatus					
H. trimaculatus	.01	.13	<.01 .23	.01 .16	
Hemigymnus melapterus	.01	• ± 5	.01	.10	
Stethojulis bandamensis		.01	.01	.02	.02
unidentified labrids			<.01		
MONACANTHIDAE					
Oxymonacanthus longirostris			.01		
			.01		
MULLIDAE					
Parupeneus barberinus		.01			
POMACENTRIDAE					
Abudefduf coelestinus				.01	
Amphiprion melanopus			<.01	.01	
Chromis caerulea			.02		
Dascyllus aruanus Eupomacentrus albifasciatus	.06	.25	. 45	.01	
E. lividus			.01 .01		
E. nigricans		.01	.01	.12	
		4	.03	• 16	
		19			

Table 5 Continued.

	A (0-220m)	B (220-310m)	C (310-480m)	D (480-570m)	E (570-600m)	
Glyphidodontops glaucus G. leucopomus				.67	.27	
Plectroglyphidodon leucozona juvenile pomacentrids	.01 <.01	.01	.01		7	
SCARIDAE juvenile scarids			.06	.04		
SIGANIDAE Siganus argenteus					3.45	
S. spinus	.08	.05	.02		3743	
SYNGNATHIDAE unidentified syngnathids	<.01					
SYNODONTIDAE Synodus variegatus			<.01			
ZANCLIDAE Zanclus cornutus				.01		
No. Species	10	10	20	17	6	
Total Fish Abundance (no./m²) Total Abundance Excluding Sig	.21 anids .13	.48 .43	.91 .89	1.18 1.18	3.98	

Table 6. Abundance of fishes no. per m²) in reef flat zones on Agana Bay Transect 2, March 7, 1978. A=Inner Reef Flat—Sand Subzone; B=Inner Reef Flat—Scattered Coral Subzone; C=Inner Reef Flat—Coral Subzone; D=Outer Reef Flat—Pavement and Pool Subzone; E=Outer Reef Flat—Pavement Subzone.

	92		dter keer FI		subzone.
	A (0-220m)	B (220-310m)	C (310-480m)	D (480-570m)	E (570-600m)
ACANTHURIDAE				() () () () () ()	(370-000m)
Acanthurus nigrofuscus	80		4.01		
A. triostegus			<.01	.02	
Ctenochaetus striatus			.01	.02	
Naso sp.(juvenile)	<.01				
APOGONIDAE					
Apogon novemfasciatus	<.01	.02	<.01	.01	
Apogon sp.		.01	<.01	102	
ATHERINIDAE					
unidentified atherinids	.01				
BALISTIDAE					
Pseudobalistes		.01			
Rhinecanthus aculeatus		.01	<.01		
			<.01		
BLENNIIDAE unidentified blenniids			0.0	-	
anidentified blennings			.01	.03	.02
CANTHIGASTERIDAE					
Canthigaster solandri		.06	.02	.07	
CHAETODONTIDAE			32		
Chaetodon auriga		.01	*01		
C. ephippium		.01	<.01		
C. quadrimaculatus C. trifascialis			<.01		
C. trifasciatus		-01	100		
C. trifasciatus C. ulietensis		.01	.04 <.01		
C. vagabundus			.01		
Henio chus chrysostomus			<.01		
GOBIL DAE					
unidentified gobiids			<.01		
HOLOC ENTRIDAE			4.01		
Flammeo sp.		.07			
My rip ristis sp.			.02 <.01		
I ARRI D. D			V.01		
LABRI DAE Halic hoeres marginatus					
H. trimadulatus	.01	.03	<.01		.02
Hemigy mnus melapterus			.35 <.01	.42	.02
Step of ulis bandanensis juvenile labrids	<.01	0.1	.01	-08	
Jever ITS INDITES	<.01	.01	<.01	.03	
MONAC ANTHIDAE					
Oxymo nacanthus longirostris			.04		

Table 6 continued

		A	В	C	D	E	
	1 1 2 1 V	(0-220m)	(220-310m)	(310-480m)	(480-570m)	(570-600m)	
					20.00		
	MULLIDAE						
	Parupeneus barberinus	<.01					
		22					
	POMACENTRIDAE						
	Abudefduf coelestinus		•	.01			
	Chromis caerulea	240	.01	.08			
	Dascyllus arvanus	.10	.31	.90	.07		
	Eupomacentrus albifasciatus			.16	.32	.07	
	E. lividus	<.01	.07	.08			
	Glyphidodontops glaucus				.37	.55	
	G. leucopomus				.04	.73	
	Plectroglyphidodon leucozon	<u>a</u> <.01					
	juvenile pomacentrids				.05		
		40					
	SCARIDAE			2.4			
	juvenile scarids			.04			
	AV214214 AV214 A						
	SYNGNATHIDAE				.01		
	unidentified syngnathids				.01		
-							
	No. species	10	13	29	13	5	
	Total Fish Abundance (No./m	2) .23	.59	1.81	1.51	1.38	
	Total Abundance Excluding						
	Signids	.23	.59	1.81	1.51	1.38	

Table 7. Abundance of fishes (no. per m²) in reef zones on Agat Bay Transect 1, May 24, 1977. A=Inner Reef Flat; B= uter Reef Flat.

	(A 0-70m)	B (70-90m)		
ACANTHURIDAE					
Acanthurus triostegus			.03		
Ctenochaetus striatus			.03		
BALISTIDAE					
Rhinecanthus aculeatus		.01			
CANTHIGASTERIDAE					
Canthigaster bennetti		.04			
C. solandri		.01	.03		
CHAETODONTIDAE					
Heniochus acuminatus			.03		
ELEOTRIDAE					
Eleotrides strigatus		.01			
GOBIIDAE					
unidentified gobiids		.01			
HOLOCENTRIDAE					
Flammeo sp.		.01			
LABRIDAE					
Halichoeres margaritaceous		.07	.20		
H. trimaculatus		.10	.03		
Stethojulis bandanensis Stethojulis juveniles		.01	.08		
LUTJANIDAE					
Lutjanus fulviflamma		.02			
L. fulvus		.04			
L. monostigmus		.01			
MULLIDAE					
Parupeneus trifasciatus			.03		
Parupeneus sp.		.06			
POMACENTRIDAE					
Abudefduf coelestinus		.03			
A. saxatilis		.14			
Eupomacentrus albifasciatus		.01	.03		
Glyphidondontops glaucus G. leucopomus		.04	.03		
Plectroglyphidodon leucozona		.06	.83		
juvenile pomacentrids		.01			
SCARIDAE					
juvenile scarids	8		.10		
SIGANIDAE			t		
Siganus argenteus			.03		
S. spinus		.42	1.95 (

Table 7 continued.

No. Species	21 14
Total Fish Abundance (no./	m ²) 1.11 3.38
Total Abundance Excluding	Siganids .69 1.40

Table ⁸ Abundance of fishes (no. per m²) in Reef Zones on Agat Bay Transectl, December 15, 1977.
A=Inner Reef Flat; B=Outer Reef Flat.

* = = xx; y =#X	A (0-70m)	B (70-90m)	27	
S =				
ACANTHURIDAE	0.0	1.0		
Acanthurus lineatus	.02	.13		
A. triostegus	.05			
Ctenochaetus striatus	.01			
APOGONIDAE				
Apogon novemfasciatus	.01			
TIPOROTI HOVEHILASCIACOS	.01			
BALISTIDAE				
Rhinecanthus aculeatus	.01			
BLENNIIDAE				
unidentified blenniids	.01			
CANTHIGASTERIDAE				
Canthigaster solandri	.01			
CHAETODONTIDAE	0.1			
Chaetodon lunula	.01			
LABRIDAE				
Halichoeres margaritaceous	.13	.13		
H. trimaculatus	.06	.13		
Stethojulis bandanensis	.01			
Steemo dirs bandamensis	.01			
R MACENTRIDAE				
G yphidodontops glaucus	.04			
G. leucopomus	.16	. 65		
Plectroglyphidodon leucozona	.01			
juvenile pomacentrids	.01			
3				
			5200	_
No. Species	15	3		
Total Fish Abundance (No./m²)	.53 ds .53	.90 .90		
Total Abundance Excluding Sigani	us .JJ	• 90		

Table 9.

Abundance of fishes (no. per m²) in reef zones on Agat Bay Transect 2, May 24, 1977. A=Inner Reef Flat--Sand Subzone; B=Inner Reef Flat--Seagrass Subzone; C=Outer Reef Flat.

GET III GET ME	A (0-70)	B (70-180)	C (180-300)	
ACANTHURIDAE Acanthurus triostegus			<.01	
APOGONIDAE Apogon novemfasciatus		.01	.03	
BALISTIDAE Rhinecanthus aculeatus			<.01	
BLENNIDAE unidentified blenniids			.01	10
CANTHIGASTERIDAE Canthigaster bennetti			.03	
CARANGIDAE Caranx melampygus (juveniles)		<.01		
Chaetodon auriga C. citrinellus		<.01	<.01	
GOBIIDAE unidentified gobiids	.10	.17	.04	
LABRIDAE Halichoeres margaritaceous H. trimaculatus Stethojulis bandanensis juvenile labrids		.04	.13 .14 .04 <.01	
LUTJANIDAE Lutjanus fulvus	.01	.01		
MULLIDAE Parupeneus barberinus Parupeneus sp.		<.01 .01	E 11	
POMACENTRIDAE Abudefduf coelestinus Dascyllus aruanus Eupomacentrus albifaciatus E. nigricans Glyphidodontops glaucus G. leucopomus		.01	<.01 <.01 .09 .07 .14	
SCARIDAE juvenile scarids	.01	<.01	.02	

Table 9 continued

	191	A 0-70)	B (70-180)	C (180-300)	
	SIGANIDAE				
	Siganus argenteus S. spinus	.24	.01 2.67	.14 1.00	
60	No. Species Total Fish Abundance (No./m²) Total Abundance Excluding Siganids	4 . 36 .12	12 2.95 .28	20 2.39 1.25	

Table 10. Abundance of fishes (no. per m²) in reef zones on Agat
Bay Transect 2, December 15, 1977. A=Inner Reef FlatSand Subzone; B=Inner Reef Flat--Seagrass Subzone;
C=Outer Reef Flat.

	A	В	С	
	(0-70)	(70-180)	(180-300)	
1. G.1. 1991 H. T.				
ACANTHURIDAE			0.5	
Acanthurus lineatus			.05 .01	
A. triostegus			.01	
Ctenochaetus striatus			.01	
APOGONIDAE				
Apogon novemfasciatus		.04	.01	
Apogon novemiasciatus		.04	.01	
BLENNIDAE				
unidentified blenniids			<.01	
GHIGGHEILIGG DICHMIZEGO				
CANTHIGASTERIDAE				
Canthigaster solandri			.01	
CHAETODONTIDAE				
Chaetodon citrinellus			.02	
ELEOTRIDAE				
Eleotrides strigatus			.01	
GOBIIDAE				
Amblygobius albimaculatus		.01		
unidentified gobiids		.04	.01	
LABRIDAE				
Halichoeres margaritaceous			.08	
H. marginatus			.01	
H. trimaculatus			.12	
Labroides dimidiatus			.01	
Stethojulis bandanensis		- 01	.01	
Stethojulis sp. (juvenile)		<.01	0.1	
Thalassoma quinquevittata			.01 <.01	
Xyrichthys taeniourus				
unidentified labrids			.01	
LUTJANIDAE				
Lethrinus sp.		.01		
Lutjanus fulvus	.08			
ad Light to the state of the st		1.01		
MULLIDAE				
Parupeneus barberinus		<.01		
POMACENTRIDAE				
Dascyllus aruanus		•	.01	
Eupomacentrus albifaciatus			.25	
E. nigricans			.03	
Glyphidodontops leucopomus			.53	
Plectroglyphidodon leucozona		<.01	.01	
unidentified pomacentrids			<.01	
juvenfle pomacentrids			<.01	

Table 10 continued

(0	A 0~70)	B (70-180)	C (180-300)	
SCARIDAE juvenile scarids			. 05	
No. Species Total Fish Abundance (No./m²) Total Abundance Excluding Siganids	.08 .08	9 .13 .13	25 1.28 1.28	

Table 11. Abundance of fishes (no. per m²) on Fouha Bay Transects.

A=North Side Reef Flat Transect 1, March 29, 1978; B=North
Side Reef Flat Transect 2, March 29, 1978; C=North Side
Reef Flat Transect 3, March 29, 1978; D=South Side Reef Flat
Transect 1, January 5, 1978; E=South Side Reef Flat Transect
2, March 29, 1978.

	2, March 29		J, 1370,	E-Jodgi 31	rde weer i	rac iransecc
		A (0-50 m)	B (0-80 m)	C (0-110 m)	D (0-100 m)	E (0-90 m)
ACANTHURIDAE Acanthurus lineatus		.03		.01	.04	.02
A. nigrofuscus A. triostegus Ctenochaetus striatus		.02		<.01 <.01 .01	.01 .01 .03	.10
APOGONIDAE Apogon novemfasciatus		.01				.01
BLENNIIDAE Meiacanthus atrodorsalis umidentified blenniids		.01	.01	.01		
CANTHIGASTERIDAE Canthigaster solandri					.01	
CHAETODONTIDAE Chaetodon citrinellus C. lunula		.01			.01	.01
ELEOTRIDAE Eleotrides strigatus			.01			.01
GOBIIDAE unidentified gobiids		.03	.03	.01	.01	.03
LABRIDAE Halichoeres margaritaceous H. marginatus H. trimaculatus		.07	.03	.01 <.01 .04	.34	.21 .01 .09
Labroides dimidiatus Macropharyngodon meleagris Stethojulis bandanensis Thalassoma quinquevittata juvenile labrids				.02	.01 .01 .01 .02	.01
MUGILIDAE						
Chelon vaigiensis			.16			
MULLIDAE Parupeneus barberinus Parupeneus sp.		.01		(i)		.01
MURAENIDAE unidentified muraenids				<.01	V	
POMACENTRIDAE Abudefduf sordidus Eupomacentrus albifasciatus E. faciolatus	3	.01	6	.04	.05	.01

Table 11 continued

	A (0-50 m)	B (0-80 m)	C (0-110	D m)(0-100 m)	E (0-90 m)
Glyphidodontops glaucus G. leucopomus Plectroglyphidodon leucozona Pomacentrus vaiuli unidentified pomacentrids	.02 .25 .01	.38 .19	.12 .52 <.01	.09	.55
SCARIDAE juvenile scarids					.02
No. Species Total Fish Abundance (No./m²) Total Abundance Excluding Siganids	13 .50 .50	9 .88 .88	17 .85 .85	19 1.53 1.53	17 1.73 1.73

Table 12. Abundance of fishes (no. per m²) on South Reef Flat,
Ylig Bay Transects I and 2, June 17, 1977. A=Transect I,
Inner Reef Flat; B=Transect I, Outer Reef Flat; C=Transect
2, Inner Reef Flat; D=Transect 2, Outer Reef Flat.

_		A (0-50m)	B (50-60m)	C . (0-70m)	D (70-100m)	
	ACANTHURIDAE Acanthurus triostegus Ctenochaetus striatus juvenile acanthurids	.08	.25	.12	.05	
	APOGONIDAE Apogon novemfasciatus	.01	.15	.01	.02	
	BALISTIDAE Rhinecanthus aculeatus			.01		
	BLENNIIDAE Plagiotremus tapeinosoma unidentified blenniids	.01		.01	.03	
	CANTHIGASTERIDAE Canthigaster solandri			.05		
	CARANGIDAE Caranx melampygus (juvenile)			.07		
	CHAETODONTIDAE Chaetodon auriga C. citrinellus Heniochus acuminatus	.01		.03	.03	
	ELEOTRIDAE Ptereleotris microlepis	.01				
	FISTULARIIDAE Fistularia petimba			.01		
	GOBIIDAE unidentified gobiids	.06	.05	.04	.02	
	LABRIDAE Halichoeres margaritaceous H. marginatus			.08	.07	
	H. trimaculatus Hemigymnus melapterus Labroides dimidiatus Macropharyngodon meleagris	.15	.05	.05 .01 .02 .02	.07	
	Stethojulis bandanensis juvenile labrids unidentified labrids	.03 .09 .02	.15	.05	.02	
	LUTJANIDAE Gnathodentex aureolineatus Lutjanus fulvus			.03	.17	
	Scolopsis cancellatus	.06	.15	.34	.02	

Table 12 continued.

The state of the s	A (0-50m)	B (50-60m)	C (0-70m)	D (70-100m)	
MULLIDAE					
Mulloidichthys auriflamma					
M. samoensis			.18		
Parupeneus bifasciatus				.07	
P. trifasciatus	0.7		.01		
	.03	.05	.01	.03	
POMACENTRIDAE					
Eupoma entrus albifasciatus	.02	**	0.0		
E. fasciolatus	.02		.08	.10	
E. nigricans	.03			.03	
clyphidodontops biocellatus	.03			.02	
c. glaucus	.20			.02	
G. leucopomus	.12	. 20	.16		
Plectroglyphidodon leucozona	.02	. 20	.19	. 78	
juvenile pomacentrids	.03		.06	.05	
	.03		.01		
SCARIDAE					
juvenile scarids		0.5			
		. 25	.11	.10	
SCORPAENIDAE				T.	
Synanceja					
				.02	
SIGANIDAE					
Siganus argenteus	1.5				
S. spinus	.15	4.10	.65	193	
	.07		.24	.57	
				5.0	
No. Species	22	11	32	27	
Total Fish Abundance (No./m²)	1.46	5.45	3.22	4.88	
Total Abundance Excluding Sigant	lds 1.24	1 35	2.33	2.38	




Figure 1. The island of Guam, with the locations of the transecting areas and the sites of the plankton tows. A=Nimitz Channel; B=Umatac Bay; C=Achang Bay; D=Manell Channel; E=Ajayan Bay.




Figure 2. Tumon Bay Transect 1, 9-10 July 1978. Fish census data for each 10-meter interval. A*numb er of species; B*number of individuals; C*number of d. trimaculatus; D*numb er of d. glaucus; E*number of G. leucopomus; F*number of H. m argaritaceous; G*reef profile (meters) with respect to MLW.




Figure 3. Tumon Bay Transect 2, 3 May-2 June 1977. Fish census data for each 10-meter interval. A=number of species; B=number of individuals; C=number f trimaculatus, D=number of G. glaucus; E=number of G. leucop mus, F=number of Harqaritaceous; G=reef profile meters) with respect to MLL.




Figure 4. Agana Bay Transect 1, 29 April 1977. Fish data for each 10-meter interval. A=number of species, B=number of individuals; C=number of . trimaculatus; D=number of G. leucopomus; E=number of H. margaritaceous; F=reef profile (meters) with respect to MLLW.




Figure 5. Agana Bay Transect 2, 13 April 1977. Fish census data for each 10-meter interval. A=number of speices; 3=number of individuals; G=number of H. trimaculatus; D=number of G. glaucus; E=number of G. leucopomus; F=reef profile (meters) with respect to MLLW.




Figure 6. Agana Bay Transect 2, 7 March 1978. Fish census data for each 10-meter interval. A=number of species; B=number of individuals; C=number of H. trimaculatus; D=number of G. glaucus; E=number of G. leucopomus; F=reef profile (meters) with respect to MLLW.




Figure 7. Agat Bay Transect 1. Fish census data for each 10-meter interval.

A-G: 24 May 1977; H-N: 15 December 1977; A, H=number of species;
B, I=number of individuals; C, J=number of H. trimaculatus;
D, K=number of G. glaucus; E, L=number of G. leucopomus; F, M=
number of H. margaritaceous; G, V=reef profile (meters) with
respect to MLLW.




Figure 8 .Agat Bay Transect 2. Fish ensus data for each 10-meter interval.

A-G: 24-26 May 1977; H-M: 15 ecember 1977; A, H=number of species;
B, I=number of individuals; C. =number of H. trimaculatus;
D=number of G. glaucus; E, K=number of G. leucopomus; F, L=number of H. margaritaceous; G. M=reef profile (meters) with respect to MLLW.




Figure 9. Fouha Bay Transect 1. Fish census data for each 10-meter interval.

A-FL 5 January 1978; G-L: 29 March 1978; A, G=number of species;
B, H=number of individuals; I=number of H. trimaculatus; C. J=
number of G. glaucus; G. K=number of G. Teucopomus; E, L=number
of H. margaritaceous; F=reef profile (meters) with respect to
MLLW.




Figure 10. Fouha Bay Transects 2-4, 29 March 1978. Fish census data for each 10-meter interval. A-D=Transect 2; E-K=Transect 3; L-Q=Transect 4. A (upper), E. L=number of species; A (lower), F. M=number of individuals; B, G, N=number of 4. trimaculatus; C, H. O=number of G. qlaucus; O, I, P=number of G. leucopomus; J, Q=number of H. margaritaceous; K=reef profile (neters) with respect to MLLW.




Figure 11. Ylig Bay, Transects 1 and 2, 17 June 1977. Fish census data for each 10-meter interval. A-E=Transect 1, F-J=Transect 2. A, F= number of species; B, G=number of individuals, C, H=number of H. trimaculatus; D, I=number of G. glaucus; E, J=number of G. leucopomus.

PART II: Distribution of the eggs and larvae of fishes at selected sites on Guam

The life cycles of most species of tropical reef fishes have not been studied in detail. From what information is available (see Breder and Rosen, 1966), some general patterns can be described. Tropical marine teleost fishes (which excludes the sharks and their relatives) all exhibit external fertilization; that is, the female releases unfertilized eggs into the water and the male sheds his sperm fertilizing the eggs. The fertilized eggs, then, develop outside the body of the female fish. In many species, notably most gobies (Family Gobiidae), blennies (Family Blenniidae), and damselfish (Family Pomacentridae), the eggs are attached to the substrate while the embryonic fishes are developing and the parent fish may protect the developing eggs to varying degrees (see Ross, 1978). Many cardinalfishes (Family Apogonidae) are mouth breeders, and one of the parent fishes carries the developing eggs in its mouth until they hatch. Most other groups, however, do not attach nor care for their eggs, and the fertilized eggs are pelagic, floating freely in the water while the embryos inside are developing.

The developing egg, of course, cannot swim nor do anything in its own defense, and most of them are eaten or otherwise destroyed before they develop to the point of hatching. Fish are well-known for their production of vast numbers of eggs, and this is necessary to insure that at least a few of them will survive to hatch and mature. Species which care for their eggs generally produce much smaller broods, because the protection provided by the parents guarantees that a larger percentage will survive to hatching.

Once hatched, the developing fish, now referred to as a larva, takes up residence in the planktonic communities of the waters in which it lives. Pelagic larval fishes have limited powers of locomotion and some of them, for instance puffers (Family Tetraodontidae and other related families) and scorpionfishes (Family Scorpaenidae), have arrays of spines to deter predators. Despite these assets, mortality is also high among fish larvae. Some are eaten by various plankivorous animals, some starve, and some are carried by currents to areas where conditions are unfavorable to their survival. A planktonic larval stage is common to virtually all marine reef fishes, and even some species which live in fresh waters as adults have larvae which develop in the ocean. The larval stage is limited in duration, and the larvae of those fish species which live on the reef as juveniles and adults must return to the reef environment within a certain period of time to complete their development. Many larvae are no doubt lost by being carried away from the reef, preventing them from completing their development. Once returned to the reef, the juvenile fish must encounter the particular type of reef habitat to which it is adapted and must be able to establish itself in this habitat. Many juveniles no doubt perish during this process, being eaten by reef predators or excluded from potential habitats by the presence of competitors of their own species or similarly adapted species.

Clearly, the survival of any given egg to maturity or recruitment is very unlikely, and for this reason, marine teleost fishes produce great numbers of eggs so that, on the average, one pair of eggs of all those produced in a life time, will replace one pair of spawners. Over short periods of time, however, the survival of eggs and larvae is quite variable, and some species, for instance the rabbitfishes, may have years

in which larval survival is very high and years in which it is very poor (Kami and Ikehara, 1976). Because of the many factors affecting the survival of the early life stages of marine fishes, it is not possible to predict the probability of recruitment during a given year for any species of reef fish.

Fish are a conspicuous component of Guam's marine communities and have economic, ecological, and aesthetic value. There is some concern that future developments of Guam's coastal zone may have unforeseen impact on areas which are important for the early development of fishes. Little is known of the distribution of the larvae and eggs of fishes in Guam waters, and virtually nothing is known of the conditions which are favorable to the early stages of development of Guam's fish fauna.

The purpose of this study is to determine the relative abundance of pelagic fish eggs and larvae at selected locations in the waters surrounding Guam. The locations were selected to provide information on the influence of nearby mangrove habitats, seagrass habitats, reef channels, and offshore habitats on the numbers of fish larvae in the water. The composition of the associated plankton community is analyzed to indicate relationships between the abundance of fish eggs and larvae and other planktonic organisms.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Dennis Lassuy and Jeanine Stojkovich for their help in processing the plankton samples, and especial thanks to Mrs. Terry Balajadia for typing the manuscript.

METHODS

Fish eggs and larvae were sampled with a 50 cm diameter zooplan_{kton} net with mesh apertures of 0.35 mm. A second net, 25 cm in diameter with 171 gauge mesh was towed simultaneously to collect a sample of phytoplankton. The nets were towed 1 to 2 meters below the surface for measured periods of time. The locations of the sampling sites are shown in Figure 1. The plankton samples were preserved in 10% formalin and were brought to the laboratory for further analysis. Subsamples from each collection were examined under a dissection microscope, and the fish eggs and larvae and other zooplanktonic organisms were enumerated. The phytoplankton samples were placed in graduated cylinders, and settling volume was determined.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fish eggs are a prominant part of the plankton. In the collections made in this study, the density of fish eggs varied from 4.2 to 223.3 per cubic meter of water, and their relative abundance varied from 2.7 to 91.1% of the planktonic organisms collected. Notwithstanding the wide range in their abundance, fish eggs appear to be distributed randomly in the water: the coefficient of dispersion (variance/mean) for their densities over all collections was 1.1652, only very slightly more than 1.0, the expected C.D. value for a randomly distributed organism.

The larvae of fishes are very much less abundant than fish eggs, however. Their greatest density was 6.3 per cubic meter of water in a collection where they comprised 5.1% of all planktonic organisms taken. In several tows, they were not collected at all. Fish larvae appear to be moderately aggregated in their distribution and had a coefficient of dispersion of 2.0037. This tendency toward aggregation does not imply that the larvae school, and most studies on the schooling of fishes indicate that this behavior does not develop until somewhat later in life, during the juvenile stages.

The data suggest that these is some seasonality in the abundance of fish eggs, with the highest densities occurring in the summer months (July and August) (Table 23). The density of larvae is at the lowest during the summer months, although these is considerable overlap in densities among all the months sampled.

The highest densities of fish eggs were found in the Achang Bay-Manell Channel area and in Ajayan Bay. Areas of particularly low densites of fish eggs were Nimitz Channel and Umatac Bay. Fish larvae showed no consistant pattern of dominance in particular areas. The highest density was recorded from the Nimitz reef front near Alutom Island; other relatively high concentrations were found in Ajayan Bay, Nimitz reef front near the Channel, Achang Bay and Nimitz Channel.

It has been suggested that mangrove or seagrass areas may be nursery areas for developing fishes. The sites surveyed in this study were selected in this study were selected to provide some information on this hypothesis: the site in Agat Bay just off Alutom Island is near a relatively extensive seagrass flat as is the Ajayan Bay site (Randall and Eldredge, 1976). Achang Bay is surrounded by a mangrove shoreline, and Manell Channel is flanked by seagrass beds. The other sites sampled are not in areas of mangrove or well-developed seagrass. The two highest densities of fish larvae were recorded off Alutom Island and in Ajayan Bay, but both of these areas were also sampled when very few fish larvae were present. Nimitz channel and the reef front adjacent to it also showed high larval densities, but these areas are not close to developed seagrass beds. Seagrass beds may be important to certain species at certain times of the year, but other types of areas are apparently suitable for fish larvae.

The distribution of fish eggs is determined by the spawning areas of the parent fishes, and water circulation patterns, since eggs have no way to actively seek out particular habitats. The very high densities of fish eggs in Ajayan eggs in Ajayan Bay and in the Achang Bay-Manell Channel area suggests that various species of fish are spawning in these areas.

There is no statistical relationship between the volume of phytoplankton collected and the density of fish larvae (correlation coefficient =

0.115). The phytoplankton net tended to catch, in addition to phytoplankton, microplanktonic crustacea and water-borne silt. These contaminants may have compromised the validity of our phytoplankton measurements.

CONCLUSIONS

The various areas sampled showed considerable variations in the abundance of fish larvae and eggs from location to location and at different sampling periods. Although it might be tempting to rate the various areas on the basis of their importance in the production of young fishes, it must be noted that the young stages of the many hundreds of fish species in the waters around Guam may have specific habits and preferences which differ from one another. The high density of fish eggs in Achang Bay-Manell Channel may be due to the presence of the near-by mangrove environment. Seagrass may well be important for other fish species, and clearly many species have egg and larval stages which reside in quite different environments. The preservation of a wide variety of environments will help to insure that fish species with differing ecological requirements will be able to complete their life cycles.

Table 13. Plankton collected in Agat Bay February 2, 1977. Relative abundance (.) of various groups.

PLANKTON	TOW #1	TOW #2
Fish Eggs	37.2%	31.0%
Fish Larvae	2.3	2.8
Foraminifera	5.6	0.5
Radiolarians	1.9	2.8
Medusae	0.5	-
Siphonophores	0.9	0.9
Gastropod Larvae	0.5	_
Heteropods	4.7	0.5
Pteropods	_	0.5
Copepods	15.3	17.1
lysids	3.7	1.9
mphipods	1.9	
tomatopod Larvae	0.9	-
rab Larvae	6.5	11.2
'Shrimp" Larvae	11.6	24.5
Chaetognaths	4.2	1.9
Larvaceans	0.5	1.9
Miscellaneous	1.9	2.8

Table 14. Plankton collected in Agat Buy, March 14, 1977. Density (no./m³) and, in parentheses, relative abundance (%). A=Nimitz Channel; B=Nimitz Reef Front Near Channel; C=Nimitz Reef Front Near Alutom Island; D=1/4 Mile Offshore.

PLANKTON	A	В	С	D
Fish Eggs	5.5(12.4)	8.0(24.7)	2.0(34.3)	12.0(57.6)
Fish Larvae	1.3(2.8)	0.3(1.0)	0.6(1.1)	0.04(0.2)
Foraminifera	2.5(5.6)	2.2(6.7)	1.3(2.1)	0.8(4.0)
Radiolarians		0.3(1.0)	**	5.6(26.8)
Siphonophores		0.2(0.5)	0.2(0.4)	0.2(0.8)
Polychaete Larvae	0.3(0.6)	0.3(1.0)		
Gastropod Larvae	0.3(0.6)			
Pteropods	0.8(1.7)	0.2(0.5)	1.0(1.8)	tup Std
Copepods	17.0(38.4)	15.8(49.0)	28.8(49.3)	0.6(2.8)
Mysids	an. u	0.2(0.5)	0.6(1.1)	
Amphipods			0.2(0.4)	
Crab Larvae	12.5(28.2)	2.5(7.7)	0.8(1.4)	1.0(4.8)
"Shrimp" Larvae	0.8(1.7)	0.2(0.5)	1.0(1.8)	0.1(0.4)
Chaetognaths	2.3(5.1)	2.0(6.2)	2.9(5.0)	- 9 - 9
Echinoderm Larvae				0.2(0.8)
Larvaceans	1.3(2.8)	0.3(1.0)	0.6(1.1)	0.2(0.8)
Miscellaneous	<u>.</u>	offeron figure	w £	0.2(0.8)
TOTAL	44.3(100.0)	32.3(100.0)	58.3(100.0)	20.8(100.0)
Phytoplankton Volume (m1/m ³)	0.233	0.111	0.264	0.067

Table 15. Plankton collected in Agana Bay, March 14, 1977. Density (no./m³) and, in parentheses, relative abundance (%). A= Central Agana Bay-Reef Front; B=East Agana Bay-Off Alupat Island.

PLANKTON	A A	В
Fish Eggs	33.3(34.1)	50.3(91.0)
Fish Larvae	0.7(0.8)	0.04(0.06)
Foraminifera		0.3(0.5)
Radiolarians	0.5(0.5)	
Polychaetes	0.3(0.3)	
Pteropods	0.8(0.8)	
Copepods	54.0(55.4)	2.3(4.1)
Mysids		0.3(0.5)
Crab Larvae	1.0(1.0)	1.3(2.3)
'Shrimp' Larvae	0.3(0.3)	
Chaetognaths	4.3(4.4)	
Larvaceans	2.5(2.6)	
Miscellaenous		10.0(1.8)
TOTAL	97.5(100.0)	55.3(100.00)
Phytoplankton Volume (m1/m ³)		0.350

Table 16. Plankton collected in Agat Bay, April 15, 1977. Density (no./m³) and, in parentheses, relative abundance (%). A=Nimitz Channel; B=Nimitz Reef Front Near Channel; C=Nimitz Reef Front Near Alutom Island; D=1/4 Mile Offshore; E=1/2 Mile Offshore.

PLANKTON	A	В	С	D	E
Fish Eggs	8.2(7.0)	7.5(7.4)	16.3(13.1)	5.1(44.7)	13.7(76.7)
Fish Larvae	0.06(0.05)	2.1(2.1)	6.3(5.1)	0.4(3.5)	0.04(0.2)
Foraminifera	0.7(0.6)	0.8(0.8)	0.8(0.7)	0.3(2.6)	
Medusae	0.4(0.3)				
Siphonophores		0.4(0.4)	0.4(0.3)	· 2	
Pteropods	0.4(0.3)		0.4(0.3)		
Ostracods		0.8(0.8)	2.5(2.0)	0.3(2.6)	-5 -
Copepods	41.1(35.2)	55.5(54.7)	59.6(48.1)	0.9(7.9)	1.2(6.7)
Mysids		2.1(2.1)	0.8(0.7)		
Cumaceans		0.4(0.4)			
Amphipods		0.8(0.8)		-, -	
Crab Larvae	52.5(45.0)	14.6(14.4)	8.8(7.1)	1.5(13.2)	0.3(1.7)
"Shrimp" Larvae	11.1(9.5)	5.0(4.9)	9.2(7.4)	2.1(18.4)	0.3(1.7)
Chaetognaths	0.7(0.6)	2.5(2.5)	2.1(1.7)		
Larvaceans	0.4(0.3)	8.8(8.6)	12.5(10.1)	0.9(7.9)	2.4(13.3)
Miscellaneous	0.7(0.6)				
TOTAL	116.8(100.0)	101.3(100.0)	123.8(100.0)	11.5(100.0)	17.9(100.0
Phytoplankton Volume (ml/m ³)	0.714	0.361	0.333	0.167	0.143

Table 17. Plankton collected in Agat Bay, July 1, 1977. Density (no./m³) and, in parentheses, relative abundance (%). A=Nimitz Channel; B=Nimitz Reef Front Near Channel; C=Nimitz Reef Front Near Alutom Island; D=1/2 Mile Offshore; E=1 Mile Offshore.

PLANKTON	A	В	С	D	Ċ
Fish Eggs	7.5(5.7)	27.1(13.3)	46.3(55.5)	82.9(76.2)	80.8(91.1)
Fish Larvae	0.5(0.4)	0.08(0.04)		0.04(0.04)	
Foraminifera		2.1(1.0)	0.8(0.4)	8.3(7.7)	1.3(1.4)
Medusae	1.7(1.3)				0.4(0.5)
Polychaete Larvae		0.8(0.4)			
Polychaetes		1.7(0.8)	15. 15		
Pteropods				0.4(0.4)	
Copepods	7.5(5.7)	125.8(62.0)	32.1(16.1)	6.3(5.7)	3.8(0.9)
Isopods		0.4(0.2)			
Crab Larvae	112.5(85.4)	39.6(19.5)	2.1(1.1)	8.8(8.0)	0.8(0.9)
"Shrimp" Larvae	1.7(1.3)	3.8(1.8)	1.3(0.7)	1.3(1.1)	
Chaetognaths		0.4(0.2)	0.4(0.2)		
Salps		0.8(0.4)		0.8(0.8)	
Larvaceans		0.4(0.2)	0.4(0.2)		1.7(1.9)
Miscellaneous	0.8(0.6)				
TOTAL	131.7(100.0)	202.9(100.0)	83.3(100.0)	108.8(100.0)	88.8(100.
Phytoplankton Volume (m1/m ³)	0.067	0.300	0.233	0.117	0.100

Table 18. Plankton collected in Manell Channel, July 6, 1977. Density (no./m³) and, in parentheses, relative abundance (%). A=Achang Bay; B=Manell Channel Tow #1; C=Manell Channel Tow#2; D=Manell Channel Tow #3.

PLANKTON	Α	В	С	D
Fish Eggs	62.5(14.2)	28.0(14.6)	71.7(15.4)	58.8(13.5)
Fish Larvae	1.5(0.3)	0.2(0.1)	0.7(0.2)	0.4(0.1)
Foraminifera		1.2(0.6)	0.4(0.1)	
Copepods	310.0(70.3)	142.9(77.8)	352.1(75.6)	286.3(65.6)
Amphipods	0.8(0.2)			
Crab Larvae	29.2(6.6)	9.5(5.0)	10.8(2.3)	8.3(1.9)
"Shrimp" Larvae	34.2(7.8)	8.9(4.7)	29.6(6.4)	82.5(18.9)
Chaetognaths	0.8(0.2)	0.6(0.3)	0.4(0.1)	
Larvaceans	1.7(0.4)			0.4(0.1)
TOTAL	440.8(100.0)	191.1(100.0)	465.8(100.0)	436.7(100.0)
Phytoplankton Volume (ml/m ³)	0.533	_		0.333

Table 19. Plankton collected at various sites on July 6, 1977. Density (no./m²) and, in parentheses, relative abundance (%). A=Ajayan Bay; B=Cocos Lagoon; C=Umatac Bay; D=Agat Bay-Nimitz Channel.

PLANKTON	Α	В	С	D
Fish Eggs	171.7(88.4)	26.7(19.6)	25.0(25.8)	40.8(67.6)
Fish Larvae	0.05(0.03)	0.28(0.21)	0.06(0.06)	0.21(0.35)
Foraminifera	0.8(0.4)	7.9(5.8)		
Gastropods			6.7(6.9)	_
Copepods	18.3(9.4)	80.4(59.0)	52.5(54.3)	8.3(13.8)
Amphipods	0.8(0.4)		s	
Crab Larvae		10.0(7.3)	1.3(1.3)	5.0(8.3)
"Shrimp" Larvae	1.7(0.9)	11.3(8.3)	0.8(0.9)	5.0(8.3)
Chaetognaths			3.3(3.4)	
Larvaceans			7.1(7.3)	1.3(2.1)
TOTAL	194.2(100.0)	136.3(100.0)	96.7(100.0)	60.4(100.0)
Phytoplankton Volume (ml/m ³)	0.533	0.267	0.283	0.133

Table 20. Plankton collected at various sites on August 18, 1977. Density (no./m³) and, in parentheses, relative abundance (%). A=Ajayan Bay; B=Achang Bay; C=Manell Channel; D=Umatac Bay; E=Nimitz Channel.

PLANKTON	Α	В	С	D	E
Fish Eggs	52.9(85.8)	182.5(66.3)	223.3(83.6)	10.4(26.3)	7.5(52.9)
Fish Larvae	** **	0.04(0.02)	0.06(0.02)	
Foraminifera	3.3(5.4)		2.5(0.9)	0.8(2.1)	2.5(17.6)
Polychaete Larvae					0.4(2.9)
Copepods	4.2(6.8)	87.1(31.6)	34.2(12.8)	25.4(64.2)	1.7(11.8)
Amphipods					0.4(2.9)
Crab Larvae	0.8(1.4)	4.2(1.5)	1.7(0.6)	1.3(3.2)	1.3(8.8)
"Shrimp" Larvae	0.4(0.7)	1.3(0.5)	4.2(1.6)		
Chaetognaths				1.7(4.2)	~ ~
Larvaceans		0.4(0.2)	1.3(0.5)		0.4(2.9)
TOTAL	61.7(100.0)	275.4(100.0)	267.1(100.0) 39.6(100.0	0) 14.2(100.
Phytoplankton Volume (ml/m ³)	0.267	0.450	0.283	0.417	0.317

Table 21. Plankton collected in Agat Bay, January 5, 1978. Density (no./m²) and, in parentheses, relative abundance (%). A=Nimitz Channel; B=Nimitz Reef Front Near Channel; C=Nimitz Reef Front Near Alutom Island; D=1/2 Mile Offshore.

PLANKTON	Α	В	С	D
Fish Eggs	12.2(21.7)	77.5(71.3)	47.1(28.3)	27.3(83.2)
Fish Larvae	0.33(0.59)	0.25(0.23)	0.58(0.34)	0.03(0.1)
Nedusae	- ~	0.4(0.4)		
Siphonophores			2.9(1.8)	0.3(1.0)
Polychaetes	1.2(2.1)	9.2(8.4)	0.8(0.5)	0.3(1.0)
Ostracods			1.3(0.8)	0.3(1.0)
Copepods	11.2(19.9)	10.8(10.0)	95.0(57.1)	2.8(8.6)
Isopods	1.2(2.1)	0.4(0.4)		
Crab Larvae	23.2(41.2)	4.6(4.2)	5.8(3.5)	0.2(0.5)
"Shrimp" Larvae	5.7(10.1)	4.6(4.2)	11.3(6.8)	1.3(4.1)
Chaetognaths	1.3(2.4)	0.4(0.4)	1.7(1.0)	0.2(0.5)
Miscellaneous		0.8(0.8)		~ ~
COTAL	56.2(100.0)	108.8(100.0)	166.3(100.0)	32.8(100.00)
Phytoplankton Volume (m1/m ³)	0.200	0.167	0.200	0.067

Table 22. Plankton collected at various sites on January 5, 1978. Density (no./m³) and, in parentheses, relative abundance (%). A=Ajayan Bay; B=Manell Channel; C=Umatac Bay.

PLANKTON	A	В	С	
Fish Eggs	24.2(2.7)	32.0(3.8)	4.2(12.2)	
Fish Larvae	2.5(0.28)	0.67(0.08)	0.18(0.53)	
Foraminifera			0.4(1.2)	
Radiolarians			0.4(1.2)	
Polychaetes		6.0(0.7)		
Gastropod Larvae			9.5(28.0)	
Copepods	446.7(49.3)	316.0(37.9)	14.6(42.7)	
Isopods			0.4(1.2)	
Lucifer	0.8(0.1)			
Crab Larvae	38.3(4.2)	22.0(2.6)	1.3(3.7)	
"Shrimp" Larvae	391.7(43.2)	446.0(53.5)	1.7(4.9)	
Chaetognaths	1.7(0.2)	11.0(1.3)	1.7(4.9)	
TOTAL	905.8(100.0)	834.0(100.0)	34.2(100.0)	
Phytoplankton Volume (ml/m ³)	0.367	0.333	0.200	

Table 23. Mean densities (and standard deviations) of fish eggs and fish larvae in the months sampled.

	March	April	July	August	January
Fish Eggs	18.52	10.16	56.14	94.32	32.07
	(4.37)	(2.16)	(6.48)	(10.04)	(4.93)
	N=6	N=5	N=13	N=5	N=7
Fish Larvae	0.50	1.78	0.31	0.02	0.65
	(0.69)	(1.63)	(0.65)	(0.17)	(1.78)
	N=6	N=5	N=13	N=5	N=7

LITERATURE CITED

- Breder, C. M., Jr., and D. E. Rosen. 1966. Modes of reproduction in fishes.

 T. F. H. Publications, Jersey City, N. J. 941 p.
- Bryan, P. G. 1975. Food habits, functional digestive morphology, and assimilation efficiency of the rabbitfish <u>Siganus spinus</u> (Piseces, Siganidae) on Guam. Pac. Sci. 29:269-277.
- FitzGerald, W. J., Jr. 1976. Environmental parameters influencing the growth of Enteromorpha clathrata (Roth) J. Ag. in the intertidal zone on Guam. M.S. Thesis, University of Guam, Agana, Guam.
- Kami, H. T. 1975. Checklist of Guam fishes, supplement II. Micronesica 11:115-121.
- Kami, H. T., and I. I. Ikehara. 1976. Notes on the annual juvenile siganid harvest in Guam. Micronesica 12:323-325.
- Kami, H. T., I. I. Ikehara, and F. P. DeLeon. 1968. Check-list of Guam fishes. Micronesica 4:95-130.
- Randall, R. H., and L. G. Eldredge. 1976. Atlas of the Reefs and Beaches of Guam. Coastal Zone Management Section, Bureau of Planning, Agana, Guam. 191 p.
- Ross, R. M. 1978. Reproductive behavior of the anemonefish Amphiprion melanopus on Guam. Copeia 1978:103-107.

- Tobias, W. J. 1976. Ecology of <u>Siganus argenteus</u> (Pisces, Siganidae) in relation to its mariculture potential on Guam. M.S. Thesis, University of Guam, Agana, Guam.
- Tsuda, R. T., and P. G. Bryan. 1973. Food preferences of juvenile

 <u>Siganus rostratus</u> and <u>S. spinus</u> in Guam. Copeia 1973:604-606.